

# The Public Cloud for e-Government

*Bernd Zwattendorfer, E-Government Innovation Center, Graz University of Technology, Graz, Austria*

*Arne Tauber, E-Government Innovation Center, Graz University of Technology, Graz, Austria*

---

## ABSTRACT

*Cloud computing and its flexible business model of consuming IT resources such as computing power or data storage just on demand promises a lot of benefits and advantages. These advantages also the public sector and governments can benefit from. Hence, cloud computing is already on the agenda of governmental policy and decision makers. Additionally, various countries have already adapted their IT strategies to support cloud computing for their governmental and public services. However, within the public sector the private cloud model currently constitutes the dominant deployed approach. Although this model offers high control it does not take full advantage of the economic benefits of cloud computing. Therefore, based on an evaluation of different cloud models and a comparison of different national cloud computing strategies the authors argue and show that public clouds are worth more than a peek for e-Government because of their tremendous cost savings potential.*

*Keywords: Cloud Computing, e-Government, Information Technology (IT) Resources, Public Cloud, Public Services*

---

## INTRODUCTION

The term cloud computing can be read very often in the news and hence can increasingly be found on various agendas of decision makers. Cloud computing promises a lot of advantages such as high scalability and cost reductions due to a "pay as you go" business model. In general, cloud computing allows the provision of IT resources such as computing power or data storage just on demand. Only those resources which effectively are used will be charged.

There does not exist an "official" definition of the term "cloud computing". However, the definition of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) has been emerged being the de-facto standard:

*Cloud computing is a model for enabling ubiquitous, convenient, on-demand network access to a shared pool of configurable computing resources (e.g., networks, servers, storage, applications, and services) that can be rapidly provisioned and released with minimal management effort or service provider interaction. (Mell & Grance, 2010)*

This definition mainly reflects the main features of cloud computing. Besides scalability and the flexible business model, Mell and Grance (2010) specify the following features as essential characteristics of cloud computing:

- On-demand Self Service
- Broad Network Access
- Resource Pooling

DOI: 10.4018/ijdst.2013100101

- Measured Services
- Rapid Elasticity

Basically, those five characteristics summarize the main capabilities of cloud computing. IT resources such as computational power or data capacity can be provided to costumers automatically and on-demand without any additional human interaction. Those services are provided over a network irrespective of the client used for consumption (e.g. personal computer, mobile phone, etc.). Furthermore, the resources are provided dynamically, highly elastic, and they can be consumed location independent. The consumed resources are measured by the provider and charged to the customer guaranteeing an appropriate level of transparency.

The cost savings potential of cloud computing is enormous. For instance, the analysis of Alford (2009) implies that over a 13-years lifecycle the implementation costs for cloud computing would be more than 60% lower than setting up a traditional data center. Weimann (2011) even mathematically proves the inevitability of cloud computing for enterprises and organizations in the future. Additionally, the market potential of cloud computing is enormous. For 2010 the market volume was calculated to be 71 billion US Dollars. Furthermore, analysts predict a yearly growth rate of 26% for the upcoming years (Deloitte, 2009).

This huge cost savings and its market potential makes cloud computing also interesting and important for the public sector, as cost reductions for IT can be and are required to be achieved also in this area. In general, cloud computing can help governments or public authorities to provide reliable and innovative services for their citizens and businesses without any resource constraints. Instead of focusing on IT resource allocation, they can concentrate on their core business, namely serving the citizenry (Khan et al., 2011). The importance of this topic has also been emphasized by European bodies, such as ENISA<sup>1</sup> or the European Commission. For instance, ENISA has cloud computing among their current and emerging research trends (ENISA, 2010). Moreover, the European

Commission explicitly refers to cloud computing in its Digital Agenda for 2020 (European Commission, 2010), that has been derived from the Ministerial Declaration of Malmö in 2009 (European Commission, 2009) to strengthen the European Digital Market. Within the Digital Agenda, the Commission states the aim on the development of an “*EU-wide strategy on cloud computing notably for government and science*” (European Commission, 2010). This further means that cloud computing already is and still will be on the agendas of governmental and public administrations’ policy and decision makers in future. Due to that, those policy makers need to explore how cloud computing can be adopted in the public sector and which cloud model can be applied. Because of legal compliance and data protection regulations in e-Government, policy and decision makers mostly stick to private clouds in this area where high control is granted. However, the aim of this paper is to show and argue that public clouds are worth more than a peek for e-Government because of their tremendous economic benefits compared to private clouds.

Various countries within and outside Europe have already developed or are currently developing strategies for cloud computing adoption in the governmental sector. Moreover, some countries have already moved IT services into the cloud. We give an introduction to country specific strategies and governmental cloud adoptions in a later section. In this extended version of (Zwattendorfer & Tauber, 2012), we additionally analyzed cloud strategies and adoptions of cloud computing in the public sector of six additional countries. In the second section, we explain different cloud computing models and in the following section we evaluate their features for usage within e-Government. In our extended version, we detail this evaluation. Most countries and studies recommend the use of private clouds for governments. However, based on the evaluation we argue that – if certain requirements can be met - the use of public clouds should be preferred as they support the main advantages of cloud computing best (flexibility and cost savings).

## Cloud Computing Architectures and Models

According to Baun et al. (2011), cloud computing can be differentiated into different types of models. Mell and Grance (2010) of NIST distinguish between models, which relate more to technical and service aspects, and models, which focus on operation of clouds and its organizational aspects. In the following sub-sections we briefly introduce these different types of cloud models to illustrate which type and kind of model could be applied in the governmental and public sector.

### Service Models

In this architectural model, cloud computing is explained using a tier architecture. In most cases a three tier architecture is used. However, we extend this three level architecture with a fourth layer to indicate that cloud computing can support even more services.

- **Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS):** In this service model, cloud service providers offer their customers fundamental IT resources such as computing power or data storage on demand. Customers are allowed to install their own operating systems and software components but direct access to the infrastructure is denied. Amazon EC2<sup>2</sup> is a typical supplier of this service model
- **Platform as a Service (PaaS):** In this layer, cloud service provider offer special interfaces to access the cloud infrastructure. Customers can develop their own applications based on these interfaces. An example for this model is the so-called App Engine<sup>3</sup> provided by Google.
- **Software as a Service (SaaS):** A complete software solution in the cloud is offered by the cloud service provider in this case. E-mail or calendar services to be consumed are classical examples. Google for instance provides such services (Google Apps<sup>4</sup>). Another example might be collaboration tools as described in Ardissono et al. (2011).
- **Everything as a Service (XaaS):** IaaS, PaaS and SaaS usually build the main service layers for cloud computing. However, a lot of other services can be offered in the cloud, such as Security or Identity as a Service (BSI, 2011). We indicate such offerings by extending the three tier model with a fourth layer, where “everything” could be offered as a service (Schaffer, 2009).

### Deployment Models

Applying this model, cloud computing is reflected by distinguishing how cloud services are deployed and operated. In most cases, cloud computing is differentiated into the operation of a private cloud, community cloud, public cloud and hybrid cloud.

- **Private Cloud:** A private cloud is only deployed and operated for a single organization. The private cloud can be deployed by the organization itself or by any other trusted organization, which provides cloud resources to one single organization.
- **Community Cloud:** A community cloud is deployed and operated for a couple of organizations that share common interests. Usually, a trusted third organization acts as cloud provider for a union of organizations which share the cloud resources.
- **Public Cloud:** A public cloud is deployed and operated for the general public and can be used by everyone. There are no constraints on who shares or consumes the resources.
- **Hybrid Cloud:** A combination or inter-connection of different cloud models (e.g. between public, private and community clouds) is called hybrid cloud. In many cases, organizations operating a private cloud additionally rely on public clouds. The reason is that in case of resource bottlenecks of private clouds resources of public clouds can be added to bypass such bottlenecks.

## Evaluation of Cloud Computing Models

All the previously defined cloud models have their advantages and disadvantages. In fact, all models could be used for governmental applications and public services taking several limitations into account for each model. In this section, we evaluate all four cloud computing deployed models on their applicability for the use in e-Government. This evaluation will serve as basis for our argumentation in a following section, that public clouds should also be considered for e-Government applications and services.

We limit our evaluation to deployment models only as all service models are applicable, depending on the governmental use case. Our evaluation is based on a SWOT<sup>5</sup> analysis carried

out by ENISA (ENISA, 2011). The following Table 1 compares strengths and weaknesses of all cloud deployment models for their e-Government adoption.

### Public Cloud

Public cloud providers offer IT resources to the general public. Main advantages of public clouds are their high scalability and their low costs. Public cloud providers usually have huge data centers, which guarantee high availability on the one side, and allow for low cost offerings on the other side. Due to these huge data centers, customers get the impression of being able to consume unlimited resources, so high elasticity is given. For most big public cloud providers the data centers are distributed around

Table 1. Evaluation of cloud models

Public Clouds	
Strengths	Weaknesses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• High availability</li> <li>• Reliability</li> <li>• High elasticity</li> <li>• Facilitated patch management</li> <li>• Distribution for failure safety</li> <li>• Low costs</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Compliance with legal regulations</li> <li>• Isolation issues due to multi-tenancy</li> <li>• Less detailed logging capabilities</li> <li>• Proprietary interfaces</li> </ul>
Private Clouds	
Strengths	Weaknesses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Strong control</li> <li>• Detailed logging/auditing</li> <li>• Compliance with legal regulations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Higher costs</li> <li>• Specific point to attack</li> <li>• Lack of elasticity</li> </ul>
Community Clouds	
Strengths	Weaknesses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lower costs than private cloud</li> <li>• Elasticity</li> <li>• Compliance with legal regulations</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Competition between consumers</li> <li>• Specific point to attack</li> <li>• Consensus between involved parties required</li> <li>• No accurate prediction on required resources</li> <li>• Who is the legal entity in case of liability</li> </ul>
Hybrid Clouds	
Strengths	Weaknesses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Flexibility</li> <li>• Stronger control</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Complexity</li> <li>• Compatibility issues due to different interfaces</li> <li>• Classification of data (sensitive data should not be stored in public cloud)</li> </ul>

the world, which enables failure safety in case of a breakdown of one data center.

Although public clouds have a lot of advantages, also several disadvantages with respect to e-Government can be identified. One main disadvantage of public clouds is the difficulty of being compliant with legal regulations. This requirement is especially important for e-Government applications, as in this area usually legal requirements, e.g. data protection regulations protecting citizen's privacy, must be fulfilled. Guaranteeing data protection is essential for most e-Government applications. This means, in sensitive applications data should not unintentionally be disclosed. However, currently public cloud providers have still problems in solving isolation issues due to the provided multi-tenancy. In addition, the full logging functionality of public cloud services cannot be used as for many governmental services it is not allowed to store sensitive data in private sector applications such as public clouds. Since the private sector currently is the driving force behind public cloud, standardization efforts do not really exist. The use of proprietary interfaces of private sector cloud providers allows for easier vendor lock-in and does not enable data portability, which is important for e-Government services.

The public cloud offers a lot of advantages, but still has severe disadvantages which might hinder e-Government adoption of public clouds. However, in the remainder of this article we describe why the advantages of public clouds outbalance its disadvantages. Moreover, we argue that public clouds are worth more than a short spot for e-Government adoption if certain issues can be bypassed.

## Private Cloud

A private cloud is usually operated for a single organization only. Since the resources are not shared amongst others, this deployment model offers stronger control over the resources and the data processed in the private cloud. Additionally, the operator of the private cloud can easier be compliant to legal regulations, as requirements

need to be fulfilled of one single organization only. Compared to public clouds, private clouds allow for more detailed logging and auditing possibilities. Since the private cloud provider is trusted, also more sensitive data might be stored and logged at the private cloud provider.

Disadvantages of private clouds are its higher costs, its lack of elasticity, and being a specific point to attack. Since the private cloud is operated for one single organization only, cost advantages of pure cloud computing, where resources are shared, cannot take effect. In addition, private clouds cannot provide as much elasticity because their resources are limited. Finally, a private cloud constitutes a single point of attack as the computation power is more concentrated.

## Community Cloud

Community clouds bundle IT resources for a couple of organizations that share same interests. They are higher elastic than private clouds because more IT resources are required for multiple organizations. Community clouds are also more cost-effective than private clouds, as expenditures are shared amongst several organizations. Finally, they also allow for compliance with legal regulations, as the cloud can be operated according special requirements of the participating organizations.

Equally to private clouds, the community cloud constitutes a specific point to attack, which is one of the disadvantages of community clouds. Another disadvantage is the competition between consumers regarding resource consumption. Since resources are shared amongst several organizations, consensus between involved parties is required. Concerning setting up a community cloud, predictions of the amount of required resources are needed. It is very difficult to give accurate predictions on that. Finally, liability issues may arise in case of any problems, because the community cloud is operated for several legal entities and not only one. Hence, the question arises which legal entity will be responsible e.g. in case of data protection violations.

## Hybrid Cloud

A hybrid cloud is a consolidation of different cloud deployment models, such as between public, private, or community clouds. A hybrid cloud allows for stronger control than a pure public cloud, because main functionality may be encapsulated in a private cloud. Moreover, a hybrid cloud is flexible in terms of resources. For instance, if consumed resources of a private cloud are going to run out, additional resources of a connected public cloud can be requested.

Nevertheless, hybrid clouds also have their disadvantages. They are more complex as several different cloud models must be combined. Due to this combination, also compatibility issues can occur because current cloud implementations lack in fully compatible and standardized interfaces. Moreover, classification of data is required because sensitive data should not be stored in a public cloud, but instead should rather be processed in a private cloud.

## The Use of Cloud Computing for Public Services

In general, cloud computing offers and provides a lot of benefits. The main advantages are high flexibility and huge cost savings due to the on-demand provision of services and its charging model. Besides this, cloud computing offers a wide range of additional advantages. Public authorities or governments should also benefit from these advantages by adopting cloud computing. Benefits for public services are for example having not the necessity of setting up an own IT infrastructure and hence also decreasing investments and administrative expenditures. Bhisikar (2011) lists further benefits of cloud computing for governments:

- Increased flexibility
- Access anywhere
- Elastic scalability and pay-as-you-go
- Easy to implement
- Service quality
- Availability of software updates
- Sharing documents and group collaboration

- Data Recovery
- Distributed Data Centers

Those benefits are the reason why countries and governments have already strategies for the adoption of cloud computing or why countries already use cloud computing for public services. The following sub-sections briefly explain national strategies and cloud adoptions of various countries. These explanations will show that most countries stick to the highly controlled and less economical private cloud model for their governmental applications.

## Europe

### *Austria*

Austria has published a position paper (Plattform Digitales Österreich, 2011) for the use of cloud computing services within the government and public authorities. They emphasize the advantages of cost savings. However, cost savings must be opposed to governance, security and data protection. Due to that issues and challenges, the authors think that for governments private and community clouds could satisfy the requirements and needs best. However, they do not exclude the use of other cloud models for public services but they struggle if e.g. data protection requirements could be met by public clouds.

### *Denmark*

In 2009 Denmark has started a discussion round on how cloud services could be used in the public sector. The idea was to foster the modernization of public administrations and to decrease costs of IT services (EPractice.eu, 2009). At the beginning of 2011 Denmark moved services of the Danish procurement authority into the cloud of a telecom provider (OurBusinessNews.com, 2009).

### *France*

In 2011 the French Government started an initiative to build its own cloud computing

platform. The name of this initiative and project, respectively, is “Andromeda”. The aim of Andromeda is to provide a cloud platform to French public entities, which is strictly located in France. Fixing the location in France guarantees compliance with the French regulatory framework, i.e. being compliant with French data protection regulations for instance. The French cloud platform should be favored by French public authorities instead of cloud service providers from other countries. (Pérez San-José et al., 2012)

### *Ireland*

According to Robinson (2010), cloud computing has been identified as a key driver for economic renewal by the Irish government. The technological action plan of Ireland includes cloud computing as one of the main drivers. The corresponding document “Technology Actions to Support the Smart Economy” was published in 2009 (Irish Government, 2009). For instance, this strategic paper states that Ireland has a lot of expertise in the field of cloud computing because IBM and Microsoft host appropriate data centers close to Dublin. Based on that expertise, Ireland expects 10.000 high value jobs in this area over the next 5-10 years.

### *Spain*

According to a study carried out by Pérez San-José et al. (2012), the use of cloud computing governmental services is still low in Spain. Only approximately one third of the public entities are actually using cloud-based services. On cloud computing adoption, Spanish local communities are pioneers compared to central governmental institutions. For instance, the government of Catalonia moved their e-mail services for 10.500 users into a private cloud (Civic Consulting, 2012). In general, approximately 60% of those Spanish public authorities, which rely on cloud-based services, use private cloud services, 31% public clouds, around 17% hybrid clouds, and only about 4% a community cloud (Pérez San-José et al., 2012).

### *United Kingdom*

The Digital Britain Final Report (Department for Business Innovation & Skills, 2009) is one of the central reports for future plans of British authorities. This report foresees the installation of a so-called G-Cloud (Governmental Cloud) as strategic priority. A G-Cloud constitutes a separate cloud computing network for British authorities. Principally, a G-Cloud involves more or less a special private or community cloud which covers all general service layers (IaaS, PaaS, and SaaS). Concerning SaaS, an own e-Government application store should be established (Cloudbook, 2012).

### *America*

#### *Canada*

In 2009 the CTO at Public Works Government Services Canada published the document “Cloud Computing and the Canadian Environment” (Danek, 2009) dealing with Canada’s cloud adoption strategy and balancing cloud benefits (e.g. reduction of operating costs and improved maintainability) with cloud risks (e.g. privacy and personal data protection). Based on that, the Canadian government was offering a community cloud for certain services (pay, pension, etc.) in 2010. The idea was to use IaaS for virtual storage, PaaS for commoditized hosting of cloud applications and SaaS for virtual office provision and internal collaboration (Robinson, 2010).

#### *USA*

Currently, the USA is one of the leading countries in cloud computing adoption. Several services, especially e-mail services, have already been moved to the cloud. For instance, the cities of Los Angeles, Washington, and Carlsbad shifted the e-mail services of their employees to the cloud (West, 2010). Another popular example for US governmental cloud services is Apps.gov, where cloud solutions are offered by the US General Service Administration (GSA) for public sector customers.

In general, the US government forecasts in its “Federal Cloud Computing Strategy” enormous cost savings potential (Kundra, 2011). Therefore, 40% of the existing data centers should be closed and substituted by modern cloud computing technologies. The general aim of the US cloud computing strategy is taking advantage of cloud computing and drive forth its adoption in the public sector.

## Australia

The Australian government published a strategic paper on cloud computing in 2011 (Australian Government, 2011). The main policy of this paper is that “*agencies may choose cloud-based services where they demonstrate value for money and adequate security*” (Australian Government, 2011). Basically, the hosting of cloud computing services should decrease the need of separate data centers for Australian agencies. Some agencies have already piloted cloud computing applications (eTax, Electronic Lodgement System, etc.). However, the cloud computing strategic paper foresees more mature cloud adoptions based on a risk-managed approach. Depending on the classified risk level of a particular service or application, the services may be deployed in a public, private, or community cloud. From 2011 onwards, low risk services should be deployed in a public cloud, medium risk services in outsourced private clouds, and high risk services in community clouds for the government. (Australian Government, 2011)

## Asia

### China

China does not follow a particular and nationwide cloud computing strategy. Only some cities such as Dongying or Wuxi have started some cloud computing initiatives. These initiatives do not especially aim on e-Government adoption. They furthermore should help less financially strong start-ups to set up their businesses and thereby strengthen the economic growth of China. (Wyld, 2010)

### India

India currently has a limited adoption on cloud computing. The Indian state Jammu and Kashmir offers governmental services such as the issuance of birth certificates through the cloud. Although cloud computing adoption is currently low, significant interest on further adoption is given across the country. Before achieving that, security and privacy issues as hindering factors must be met. (Chandrasekaran and Kapoor, 2011)

### Japan

In contrast to China and India, Japan has already started a big governmental cloud initiative. Within this initiative, a private cloud hosting all Japanese public services should be developed. The cloud is named “Kasumigaseki” and is part of the governmental Digital Japan Creation Project. The aim of this cloud is to decrease development and operational costs for services and to increase performance of applications. Deployment of this cloud should happen step-by-step and should be completed in 2015. (Ng, 2009)

### Malaysia

In 2009 Malaysia joined an open source cloud computing test platform. The aim of this join was to gain experience for establishing such a platform for the whole country in future. Currently, cloud adoption in Malaysia is low. The Malaysian e-Government portal<sup>6</sup> and some national archive databases rely on private cloud elements. Nevertheless, cloud computing can be seen as one of the driving technologies in the Malaysian national ICT initiative. The aim is being more efficient by decreasing costs and increasing transparency at the same time. (Chandrasekaran & Kapoor, 2011)

### Singapore

At the end of 2011 Singapore started an auction for the development and set-up of a private cloud. Like for the UK (see section 4.1.4), this



cloud should form a G-Cloud to map the current governmental infrastructure as a cloud model and thus to take advantage of all cloud computing benefits. In addition, this cloud should offer central public services of Singapore. Nevertheless, during the development security and public services requirements must be taken into account. (Guo, 2011)

### *South Korea*

Referring to Chandrasekaran and Kapoor (2011), the communication commission of South Korea is going to budget hundred millions of dollars for cloud-based infrastructures in Korea. The cloud-based infrastructures should support both the government and the private sector. On the one hand, the aim of building such infrastructures is to support smaller businesses to enter the global market. On the other hand, ICT costs of the public sector shall be decreased about 50%. (Chandrasekaran and Kapoor, 2011)

### *Thailand*

Also Thailand has ambitions to build and set up a private cloud for public services. By the help of this private cloud, small- and medium-sized public authorities with limited budget should get facilitated access to e-Government. The cloud should be deployed and operated by Thailand's Government Information Technology Service (GITS) (Hicks, 2009).

### *United Arab Emirates*

According to Elbadawi (2011), also the United Arab Emirates (UAE) plan to launch a governmental cloud especially for federal and local governmental entities. This cloud should be built as a community cloud model, bundling also existing services. In particular, infrastructure and software shall be provided as a service. The use of cloud computing also fits the strategic plan and vision of the UAE. In there, collaboration between government entities should be fostered, public services should be improved, and the costs of public services should be cut down. (Elbadawi, 2011)

## **The Public Cloud for e-Government**

All cloud computing deployment models described and evaluated in the second and third sections have their advantages and disadvantages. The desired model to be applied strongly depends on the intended use case and its derived requirements. Although the enormous cost savings potential and high resource capacity argue for a highly adoption of public clouds, requirements such as control possibilities or legal compliance constrain for the use of private or community clouds. Especially in e-Government, criteria such as security or data protection play a strong role. However, also cost savings are an important success factor for e-Government.

Various studies have already investigated the use of cloud computing for public services. Most studies recommend the use of private and community clouds for public authorities. For instance, due to the heterogeneity of national laws and regulations also ENISA recommends these two models for e-Government. More concretely, they state that "in terms of architecture, for sensitive applications private and community clouds appear to be the solution that currently best fits the needs of public administrations since they offer the highest level of governance, control and visibility [...]" (ENISA, 2011). Additionally, all current cloud computing adoptions in various countries target more the private cloud than the public cloud.

However, although current studies recommend the use of private and community clouds for governments and most countries even rely on these models, the use of public clouds should not be excluded for more sophisticated public and governmental services as long as certain requirements (e.g. legal compliance, data protection, security, etc.) can be fulfilled. The reason for this statement is simple because public clouds offer the best advantages of cloud computing (low costs, high availability, less maintenance, etc.). Especially, the possibility of cost reductions for IT infrastructure and services must be emphasized.

According to Harms and Yamartino (2010), IT infrastructure costs take the main share of IT expenditures, namely 53%. In addition, 36% apply to maintenance of existing services and 11% to the development of new applications. For these areas, cloud computing can effectively help to decrease IT expenses. Concerning IT infrastructure, IT costs can be decreased by shifting parts or the complete infrastructure to a public cloud provider. Furthermore, such a move also transfers maintenance burdens such as installing software patches to the cloud provider. Additionally, applications need not to be expensively developed for the public cloud but can simply be consumed as a service from the cloud.

Overall, the study of Harms and Yamartino (2010) sees public clouds always more economical than private clouds, irrespective of the organization size or the number of required servers or computing power. Figure 1 illustrates this cost effectiveness of public clouds (Total cost of ownership per server compared to the number of servers).

The highest cost saving potential is given if organizations just require a couple of hundreds server only. The cost benefit is given under a factor of 40 if a public cloud is preferred over a private cloud. Increasing the number of required

servers the cost benefit shrinks down to a factor of 10. Nevertheless, according to Figure 1 public clouds will be always more beneficial than private clouds. What Figure 1 does not illustrate are investment costs for setting up a private cloud. Since there are no real investments (e.g. computer hardware) required for using a public cloud, the economic benefits of public clouds compared to private clouds may be even higher.

This economic advantage and flexibility of public clouds is especially interesting for public authorities and municipalities. Referring to Figure 1, smaller municipalities do not require an own data center with more than hundred servers. Since in this area of the chart the cost savings potential is the highest, municipalities can save a lot of money by transferring IT infrastructure or applications into the public cloud. They can further focus on forcing e-Government activities instead of maintaining and setting up their own data center.

The use of public clouds in e-Government may have several advantages, but still some issues can be identified, which may delay or even hinder its adoption. In the following Table 2 we evaluate the use of public cloud computing in e-Government by listing several advantages and disadvantages.

Figure 1. Economic benefits of public clouds compared to private clouds (aligned to Harms and Yamartino (2010))




Table 2. Evaluation of public cloud computing in e-government

Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No infrastructure and set up costs</li> <li>• Low maintenance costs and effort</li> <li>• High availability</li> <li>• High elasticity</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Compliance with legal regulations</li> <li>• Less control</li> <li>• Expensive customized services</li> <li>• Dependency to provider</li> </ul>

One main advantage of using public clouds in e-Government is that public authorities do not have to spend money for setting up their own cloud or IT infrastructure. Public clouds provide as many IT resources as required to its customers, which will be governments or public authorities in this case. Hence, for governments or public authorities there is no need for planning required IT resources, as they can be easily consumed just on demand from a cloud service provider. This advantage can save the public sector a lot of costs. In addition, costs can be saved due to lower maintenance demands. For instance, operating system updates or security patches for application servers are installed by the public cloud service provider and do not require any manual interaction from the cloud customer. Finally, the public cloud offers high availability and high elasticity to governmental applications. High availability is guaranteed because public cloud providers usually operate several huge computational centers distributed. By relying on public cloud providers, public authorities do not need to specifically maintain extra replication services. In terms of elasticity, there is no need for public authorities to develop or design their applications for high load, if high load can only be expected at peak times. Peak times at online applications are easily absorbed by the infrastructure of the public cloud.

Nevertheless, although public clouds can provide a lot of benefits to governments or public authorities, still some disadvantages may hinder the adoption of public clouds in the public sector. One main point of criticism is compliance with legal regulations, such as data protection. For instance, in EU countries legislations forbid sensitive data storage in countries outside the

European Union. However, many public cloud providers offer their services in other countries, such as the US, and thus public cloud resource consumption from these providers may violate EU law. Another disadvantage is less control. Since all services and data are moved to the public cloud provider, the public authority has to trust the public cloud provider that it treats the data according to given contracts or agreements. Furthermore, customization of cloud services might be expensive. As an example, cloud services offered as SaaS are mostly developed for the general public; hence adjusting such applications to domestic or national requirements could definitely increase costs. The development of customized services could also lead to some kind of dependency to the cloud service provider (frequently called "vendor lock-in"). I.e., if – for instance – the provider suddenly changes its business model and a provider switch is desired, switching can be much more expensive than staying at the provider. The reasons are the enormous migration effort and costs making a switch to another provider uneconomical.

Summarizing, comparing and balancing the advantages and disadvantages of public clouds for e-Government, they still provide more advantages, especially in terms of costs, than disadvantages. While the identified disadvantages currently still exist, a lot of research and standardization efforts are going on in these directions, especially in the field of security (Carpen-Amarie et al., 2012), at the moment. Hence, it can be expected that some of these disadvantages can be mitigated already in the near future.

## CONCLUSION

Cloud computing currently dominates the IT landscape. The reasons are the main advantages it offers: High availability of IT resources, flexibility, and huge cost savings potential due to a pay-as-you-go pricing and charging model. These advantages make cloud computing also very interesting to be adopted in the public sector and e-Government. Bhisikar (2011) lists further advantages of cloud computing for governments.

Many countries have already recognized the benefits of cloud computing for governmental applications and thus have already installed their own cloud systems or have transferred applications into the cloud. Furthermore, a high number of countries included cloud computing into their national IT strategies for future adoption. Most countries rely on private or community clouds for their public services only. However, in a previous section we showed that public clouds have the highest economic benefits and thus should be also considered for e-Government. This cloud computing model seems to be very interesting for public authorities or municipalities due to its flexibility and huge cost savings potential.

Although cloud computing has huge potential for governmental adoption, issues and challenges of governmental cloud computing still remain in some parts. Further research and investigations are required in the field of data security, open standards and interoperability, and legal issues (Wyld, 2010). Especially, secure cloud technologies should be fostered to enable fast and wide-spread market adoption. Additionally, countries should harmonize their laws regarding security and privacy to accelerate cloud computing adoption in the governmental sector (Robinson, 2010). Meeting of existing cloud computing challenges can have a significant impact on the economic growth as e.g. in the EU a few hundred thousands of new small- and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) can be created (Etro, 2009). Therefore, the European Commission will launch a European project called Cloud Partnership in 2013, which aims

on establishing a European cloud environment meeting public services requirements. Both public authorities and SMEs shall benefit from the results of this project. In general, Harms and Yamartino (2010) see a long-term shift to the cloud as organizations can concentrate on innovation than on burdensome administrative IT processes.

## REFERENCES

- Alford, T. (2009). *The Economics of cloud computing*. Booz Allen Hamilton.
- Ardissono, L., Bosio, G., Goy, A., Petrone, G., Segnan, M., & Torretta, F. (2011). Collaboration support for activity management in a personal cloud environment. *International Journal of Distributed Systems and Technologies*, 2(4), 30–43. doi:10.4018/jdst.2011100103
- Australian Government. (2011). *Cloud computing strategic direction paper*.
- Baun, C., Kunze, M., Nimis, J., & Tai, S. (2011). *Cloud computing: Web-basierte dynamische IT-services* (2nd ed.). Springer.
- Bhisikar, A. (2011). G-Cloud: New paradigm shift for online public services. [Foundation of Computer Science] [FCS]. *International Journal of Computers and Applications*, 22(8), 24–29. doi:10.5120/2603-3629
- Bundesamt für Sicherheit in der Informationstechnik (BSI). (2011). *Sicherheitsempfehlungen für cloud computing anbieter*.
- Carpen-Amarie, A., Costan, A., Leordeanu, C., Basescu, C., & Antoniu, G. (2012). Towards a generic security framework for cloud data management environments. [IJDSST]. *International Journal of Distributed Systems and Technologies*, 3(1), 17–34. doi:10.4018/jdst.2012010102
- Chandrasekaran, A., & Kapoor, M. (2011). *State of cloud computing in the public sector – A strategic analysis of the business case and overview of initiatives across Asia Pacific*. Frost & Sullivan.
- Civic Consulting. (2012). *Cloud computing - Study*.
- Cloudbook. (2012). *UK government CIO council*. Retrieved from <http://www.cloudbook.net/directories/gov-clouds/uk-government-cio-council>
- Danek, J. (2009). *Cloud computing and the Canadian environment*.

- Deloitte. (2009). *Cloud computing - Forecasting change*.
- Department for Business Innovation & Skills. (2009). *Digital Britain – Final report*. Retrieved from <http://www.culture.gov.uk/images/publications/digitalbritain-finalreport-jun09.pdf>
- Elbadawi, I. (2011). Cloud computing for e-government in UAE: Opportunities, challenges and service models. In *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on* (pp. 1–2). Retrieved from <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2072155>
- ENISA. (2010). *Priorities for research on current and emerging network trends*.
- ENISA. (2011). *Security & resilience in Governmental clouds*.
- EPractice.eu. (2009). Retrieved from <http://www.epractice.eu/en/news/292790>
- Etro, F. (2009). The economic impact of cloud computing on business creation, employment and output in Europe. *Review of Business and Economics*.
- European Commission. (2009). *Ministerial declaration on eGovernment*.
- European Commission. (2010). *A digital agenda for Europe*.
- Guo, X. (2011). *Singapore govt to set up private cloud*. Retrieved from <http://www.futuregov.asia/articles/2011/jul/05/singapore-set-g-cloud/>
- Harms, R., & Yamartino, M. (2010). *The economics of the cloud for the EU public sector*.
- Hicks, R. (2009). *Thailand hatches plan for private cloud*. Retrieved from <http://www.futuregov.asia/articles/2009/may/25/thailand-plans-private-cloud-e-gov/>
- Irish Government. (2009). *Technology actions to support the smart economy*.
- Khan, F., Zhang, B., Khan, S., & Chen, S. (2011). Technological leap frogging e-government through cloud computing. In *Proceedings of the 2011 4th IEEE International Conference on Broadband Network and Multimedia Technology* (pp. 201-206). IEEE.
- Kundra, V. (2011). *Federal cloud computing strategy*.
- Mell, P., & Grance, T. (2010). *The NIST definition of cloud computing*. NIST.
- Ng, K. (2009). *Japan govt plots private cloud*. Retrieved from <http://www.futuregov.asia/articles/2009/may/18/japan-govt-plots-private-cloud/>
- OurBusinessNews.com. (2009). Retrieved from <http://www.ourbusinessnews.com/tde-gets-danish-cloud-computing-framework-deal/>
- Pérez San-José, P., de la Fuente Rodríguez, S., García Pérez, L., Gutiérrez Borge, C., & Álvarez Alonso, E. (2012). *Study on cloud computing in the Spanish public sector* (p. 178).
- Plattform Digitale Österreich. (2011). *Cloud computing - Positionpaper*.
- Robinson, N. (2010). *Computing in the public sector: Rapid international stocktaking*.
- Schaffer, H. (2009). X as a service, cloud computing, and the need for good judgment. *IT Professional*, 11(5), 4–5. doi:10.1109/MITP.2009.112
- Weinman, J. (2011). Mathematical proof of the inevitability of cloud computing. *Cloudonomics.Com*
- West, D. (2010). *Saving money through cloud computing*.
- Wyld, D. C. (2010). The cloudy future of government IT: Cloud computing and the public sector around the world. *International Journal of Web & Semantic Technology*, 1(1), 1–20.
- Zwattendorfer, B., & Tauber, A. (2012). The public cloud for e-government. In *Proceedings of the IADIS International Conferences Web Based Communities and Social Media 2012 and Collaborative Technologies* (pp. 129-136).

## ENDNOTES

- 1 European Network and Information Security Agency
- 2 <http://aws.amazon.com/ec2/>
- 3 <https://developers.google.com/appengine/>
- 4 <http://www.google.com/enterprise/apps/business/>
- 5 Strengths-Weaknesses-Opportunities-Threats (SWOT)
- 6 <http://www.myeg.com.my>

*Bernd Zwattendorfer has studied Telematics and received his master's degree from Graz University of Technology. Additionally, he holds a master's degree in International Business received from University of Graz. In 2007 he joined the eGovernment Innovation Center (EGIZ) in Graz, which supports the Austrian Federal Chancellery in further developing the Austrian ICT-Strategy by research and innovation. He is currently working on several topics related to IT security and eGovernment, focusing on electronic identity and cloud computing. During his work he participated in the following EU projects: FP6 project eGov-Bus (Cross-Border eGovernment Services), LSP project STORK (Cross-Border eID Federation), GINI-SA (Vision of Personal Identity Management Environment).*

*Arne Tauber received his Ph.D. with distinction in Telecommunications Engineering in 2012, from the Graz University of Technology. He is currently working in the field of IT security with focus on the Austrian eGovernment initiative. Arne Tauber is the head of the eGovernment Innovation Center (EGIZ), which is a joint initiative of the Austrian Federal Chancellery and the Graz University of Technology. EGIZ supports the Austrian Federal Chancellery in further developing the Austrian ICT-Strategy by research and innovation. Arne Tauber participates in several European research projects. He was the leader of work package 6.4 (e-Delivery pilot) of the European eIdentity large scale pilot STORK (Secure Identity across borders linked) and he is currently actively involved in the European large scale pilot SPOCS (Simple Procedures Online for Crossborder Services) in the ICT-PSP (Policy Support Programme), co-founded by EU. He also contributes to the European project GINI-SA, which works towards the vision of a Personal Identity Management environment.*